

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN OFFICIAL REPORTS

California Rules of Court, rule 8.1115(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 8.1115(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 8.1115.

COURT OF APPEAL, FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION ONE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In re JOSEPH H., a Person Coming Under
the Juvenile Court Law.

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

JOSEPH H.,

Defendant and Appellant.

D075625

(Super. Ct. No. JCM240429)

APPEAL from a Judgment of the Superior Court of San Diego County, Ana
Espana, Judge. Affirmed as modified.

John L. Staley, under appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and
Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Joseph H. (the Minor) admitted two counts of a petition filed in the juvenile court
under Welfare and Institutions Code section 602. Specifically, the Minor admitted

possession of a concealable weapon (Pen. Code,¹ § 29610) and possession of ammunition (§ 29650). The remaining charges were dismissed. The Minor was declared a ward of the court. He was placed on probation on various terms and conditions including serving 240 days in the Breaking Cycles program.

The Minor filed a timely notice of appeal.

Appellate counsel has filed a brief pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436 (*Wende*), indicating he has not been able to identify any arguable issues for reversal on appeal. Counsel asks this court to review the record for error as mandated by *Wende*. We offered the Minor the opportunity to file his own brief on appeal, but he has not responded.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

At the time of the Minor's admissions, defense counsel provided a summary of the facts of the offenses. On January 7, 2019, the Minor had in his vehicle a firearm that was capable of being concealed as well as ammunition. The firearm was located between the driver's seat and the center console.

DISCUSSION

As we have noted, appellate counsel has not been able to identify any arguable issues for reversal on appeal. In accordance with *Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436, counsel asks this court to review the record for error. To assist this court in its review of the record and as mandated by *Anders v. California* (1967) 386 U.S. 738 (*Anders*), counsel

¹ All further statutory references are to the Penal Code unless otherwise specified.

has identified the following possible issue for our consideration: Whether the court erred in imposing the various conditions of probation.

We have reviewed the entire record as mandated by *Wende* and *Anders*. We have not identified any arguable issue for reversal on appeal. Competent counsel has represented the Minor on this appeal.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

HUFFMAN, Acting P. J.

WE CONCUR:

O'ROURKE, J.

IRION, J.